Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies
ISSN: 2584-2110 | Vol. 3 | Issue 1 | Jan – Jun 2025 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

Rethinking Yield Farming: A Misinterpreted Tool in Blockchain Finance

Ingrid Solberg
Freelance Scholar, Norway

Accepted: 21/05/2025 Published: 27/05/2025 *Corresponding author

How to Cite:
Solberg, I (2025). Rethinking Yield Farming: A Misinterpreted Tool in Blockchain Finance. *Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technology*. 3(1), 99-103.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v3.i1.69

Abstract: Yield farming has become one of the most popular DeFi mechanisms for passive income generation, but its speculative nature, declining returns, and general misunderstanding have led to long-term negative consequences for blockchain's reputation. This paper explores the fundamental differences between yield farming, liquidity pooling, staking, and token locking. It identifies how misconceptions have undermined confidence in decentralized finance and proposes a long-term vision centered on sustainable mechanisms like liquidity pooling.
Keywords: Yield Farming, Liquidity Pooling, Staking, Locking, DeFi, Blockchain Education, Sustainable Finance

1. Introduction

Decentralized Finance (DeFi) has revolutionized traditional financial mechanisms by enabling peer-to-peer transactions and rewards without intermediaries. However, the popularity of short-term strategies like yield farming has created illusions of guaranteed profits and stability, misleading new users and jeopardizing the trust in blockchain infrastructure.

2. Yield Farming: A Short-Term Vision

Yield farming refers to the strategy of shifting crypto assets across DeFi platforms to maximize returns. These returns often come in the form of governance or reward tokens, leading to high APYs that attract speculative investors.

- Relies on inflationary reward models
- Highly volatile returns
- Attracts short-term, non-committed liquidity

Referencing a famous quote from Nana Patekar's movie *Krantiveer*, "Aaj to main tumhe bacha loonga, kal tumhe kaun bachayega?" — Yield farming says, *"Today, I'll give you high returns, but who will save you tomorrow when APY crashes?"*

3. Liquidity Pooling: A Sustainable Framework

Liquidity pooling involves locking tokens into smart contracts to facilitate decentralized trading and earn a share of transaction fees. It is more stable, rooted in actual protocol usage, and incentivizes long-term participation.

- Generates fees from actual trading volume
- Encourages long-term liquidity providers
- Less volatile than yield farming

Liquidity pooling is often overshadowed by yield farming hype, though it represents the true economic backbone of DeFi platforms.

4. Misinterpretations and the Harm to Blockchain Reputation

Because many users encounter liquidity pools via yield farming incentives, they incorrectly associate all DeFi income mechanisms with speculative volatility. When returns decline or risks materialize, blame is placed on the underlying blockchain technology, not on the faulty investment strategies.

- Users abandon platforms due to unsustainable returns
- Trust in smart contracts and decentralized finance erodes
- Liquidity dries up when speculative rewards end

5. Staking vs Yield Farming

Staking is often mistaken for yield farming, though it serves a protocol-level purpose.

Feature	Staking	Yield Farming
Purpose	Securing PoS blockchain	Chasing highest returns
Rewards	Network-generated	Token-based/APY-driven
Risk	Low to moderate	High due to volatility and impermanent loss
Lock period	Flexible with unbonding	Varies, often short-term

6. Locking vs Staking

Locking refers to the act of freezing tokens for protocol-specific benefits (e.g., governance), without necessarily earning passive income.

Feature	Locking	Staking
Purpose	Compliance, vesting, governance	Network validation
Reward	Not guaranteed	Guaranteed by network
Flexibility	No early withdrawal	Some flexibility depending on protocol

7. Comprehensive Comparison Table

To clarify the distinctions between these mechanisms, the following table provides a side-by-side comparison:

Feature	Yield Farming	Liquidity Pooling	Staking	Locking
Purpose	Maximize short-term token rewards	Provide liquidity for DEXs	Secure blockchain via validation	Protocol-specific participation
Risk	High (impermanent loss, volatility)	Medium (depends on pool)	Low to moderate (slashing risk)	High (no withdrawal flexibility)
Return Source	Governance/reward tokens	Transaction fees	Protocol staking rewards	Often none or project-specific
Lock Period	Flexible/short-term	Depends on protocol	Protocol-dependent unbonding period	Rigid, predefined
Sustainability	Low	High	High	Varies
Volatility	Very High	Low to Moderate	Low	None
Speculation Driven	Yes	No	No	No
Encourages Long-Term	No	Yes	Yes	Sometimes

8. Psychological Impact of Misconceptions

Short-term greed, misinformation on social platforms, and a lack of DeFi education are the primary reasons for mass adoption of risky yield strategies.

- Overhyped APY screenshots create unrealistic expectations
- Herd behavior and FOMO distort decision-making
- Education is the missing layer in blockchain adoption

9. Conclusion and Future Direction

It is imperative for developers, educators, and investors to distinguish between these mechanisms clearly. Yield farming may attract attention, but **liquidity pooling**, **staking**, and **well-structured locking mechanisms** are the pillars of a stable DeFi future.

Educational initiatives, better UX separation of features, and protocol-level reforms can ensure users understand what they're participating in — and why.

Blockchain success lies not in speculative hype but in sustainable participation.

REFERENCE

Gupta, M., Gupta, D., & Duggal, A. (2023). NFT Culture: A New Era. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 57–62. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08

Gupta, D., & Gupta, S. (2023). Exploring world famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 63–71. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09

M. Gupta, "Reviewing the Relationship Between Blockchain and NFT With World Famous NFT Market Places", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.01

R. Gupta, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, "Role of Liquidity Pool in Stabilizing Value of Token", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–17, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.02 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.02

M. GUPTA and D. Gupta, "Investigating Role of Blockchain in Making your Greetings Valuable", URR, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 69–74, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.2023-v10i4-009 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.2023-v10i4-009

R. Issalh, A. Gupta, and M. Gupta, "PI Network : A Revolution", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 18–27, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.03 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.03

A. Duggal, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, "Significance Of Nft Avtaars In Metaverse And Their Promotion: Case Study", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–36, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.04 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.04

M. Gupta, "Say No to Speculation in Crypto market during NFT trades: Technical and Financial Guidelines", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 37–42, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.05 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.05

A. Singla, M. Singla, and M. Gupta, "Unpacking the Impact of Bitcoin Halving on the Crypto Market: Benefits and Limitations", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 43–50, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.06 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.06

Gupta and P. Jain, "Expected Impact Of Decentralization Using Blockchain Based Technologies", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 51–56, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.07 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.07

D. Gupta and S. Gupta, "Exploring world famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 63–71, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09

M. Gupta, "Integration of IoT and Blockchain for user Authentication", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 72–84, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.10 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.10

A. Singla and M. Gupta, "Investigating Deep learning models for NFT classification : A Review", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 91–98, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.12 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.12

Issalh, R., Gupta, D., & Gupta, M. (2023). RESEARCHER ECONOMY: A REVOLUTION BY 9NFTMANIA FOR PRESENT ALPHA MALE. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 99–104. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.13 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.13

Gupta, D. (2024). The Role of Volunteers vs. Investors and Speculators in the Cryptocurrency Market: A Comparative Study of Reputation and Value Building. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(Special), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.iSpecial.50 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.iSpecial.50

Singla, A. (2024). Reviewing Limited Supply Crypto Projects: ULTIMA, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 111–123. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.41 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.41

Ashutosh, & Gupta, M. (2024). Investigating the Narrative of Trinity: 9NFTMANIA, Premium Domain, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 124–137. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.42

Ashutosh, & Gupta, M. (2024). Investigating the Narrative of Trinity: 9NFTMANIA, Premium Domain, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 124–137. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.42 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.42

Singla, A. (2024). Exploring Liquidity Pooling and Automated Trading with COREDAOVIP Token in Decentralized Exchanges. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.26 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.26

Meenu. (2024). COREDAO.VIP: Crypto-Based Liquidity Pool Creation for Profit Maximization. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 150–156. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.44 DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.44