



Whether Listing of Genuine Crypto Projects on Cex Is A Must?

Suprit Kumar

Independent Researcher, USA

Accepted: 21/01/2025 Published: 21/03/2025

*Corresponding author



How to Cite:

Kumar, S (2025). Whether Listing of Genuine Crypto Projects on Cex Is A Must? *Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technology*. 3(1), 52-57.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v3.i1.59>

Abstract: The debate over whether a fundamentally strong cryptocurrency project must be listed on centralized exchanges (CEXs) remains a topic of discussion in the blockchain industry. This paper explores the necessity of CEX listings for genuine crypto projects by analyzing liquidity, adoption, security, and decentralization concerns. It evaluates the role of centralized platforms versus decentralized exchanges (DEXs) and investigates whether a project can thrive without reliance on CEXs. The study concludes that while CEXs offer certain advantages, they are not an absolute necessity for a genuine crypto project with strong fundamentals, decentralized liquidity, and an engaged community.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency, Centralized Exchange, Decentralized Exchange, Liquidity, Security, Adoption, Decentralization

1. Introduction

The rise of cryptocurrencies has introduced new methods of asset exchange, primarily through centralized exchanges (CEXs) and decentralized exchanges (DEXs). Traditional financial markets rely on centralized intermediaries, and cryptocurrency exchanges have mirrored this structure by providing liquidity, easy access, and user-friendly interfaces. However, genuine crypto projects often advocate for decentralization, raising the question: Is listing on a CEX essential for their success? This paper investigates whether a fundamentally strong crypto project truly requires a CEX listing or if it can thrive through decentralized means.

2. Advantages of CEX Listings

CEXs offer multiple benefits to cryptocurrency projects, including:

- **Liquidity & Trading Volume:** CEXs provide high liquidity and lower slippage, making it easier for investors to trade assets efficiently.
- **Exposure & Credibility:** Being listed on a major CEX increases a project's visibility and trustworthiness among retail and institutional investors.
- **Fiat On-Ramps:** CEXs allow direct fiat-to-crypto purchases, enabling easy onboarding for new users.





- **Regulatory Compliance:** Some institutional investors prefer regulated platforms over unverified DEXs.

Despite these advantages, CEXs also present risks and challenges that undermine their necessity for genuine projects.

3. Risks & Limitations of CEXs

While CEXs provide ease of access, they come with several concerns:

- **Speculative Trading & Price Manipulation:** CEXs facilitate short-term speculative activities such as margin trading and derivatives, causing artificial price fluctuations.
- **Custodial Risks & Security Threats:** CEXs hold users' private keys, making them vulnerable to hacks (e.g., Mt. Gox, Binance, FTX collapse). Users do not truly own their assets stored on these platforms.
- **Centralized Control & Freezing of Assets:** Unlike DEXs, where users control their assets, CEXs can freeze accounts due to regulatory actions or financial instability.
- **Lack of Incentives for Staking & Liquidity Pools:** CEXs focus on trading rather than encouraging long-term participation through staking, liquidity provisioning, and governance.

These risks question whether a genuine project should depend on CEXs or explore alternative methods for growth and adoption.

4. The Case for Decentralized Exchanges (DEXs)

Decentralized exchanges (DEXs) provide an alternative ecosystem for cryptocurrency trading that aligns more closely with the core philosophy of decentralization. Key advantages include:

- **True Ownership of Assets:** Users retain control of their private keys, reducing custodial risks.
- **Decentralized Liquidity Provisioning:** Liquidity pools eliminate reliance on centralized entities and promote community-driven token economics.
- **Security Against Hacks:** Since DEXs do not store user funds, they are less vulnerable to large-scale hacks compared to CEXs.
- **Decentralization & Censorship Resistance:** Unlike CEXs, DEXs cannot arbitrarily delist assets or freeze accounts.

These features support the argument that a project can gain traction without needing a CEX listing.

5. Case Studies of Successful Projects Without CEX Listings

Some crypto projects have successfully established themselves without initial reliance on centralized exchanges:

- **Uniswap (UNI):** Launched without a CEX listing, Uniswap gained adoption purely through decentralized liquidity pools.





- **OlympusDAO (OHM):** Established a strong community and liquidity mechanisms without depending on CEXs.
- **HEX:** Encouraged staking and community-driven liquidity growth rather than speculative CEX trading.

These examples demonstrate that projects can achieve sustainable growth without CEX dependency.

6. Comparative Analysis: CEX vs. DEX for Genuine Crypto Projects

Factor	Centralized Exchanges (CEXs)	Decentralized Exchanges (DEXs)
Liquidity	High liquidity but controlled	Community-driven, less controlled
Security	Vulnerable to hacks & insider fraud	Less prone to large-scale hacks
Ownership	Exchange holds private keys	Users retain full control
Regulatory Risk	Subject to government intervention	Censorship-resistant
Trading Practices	Prone to speculation, bot trading	Organic trading & staking opportunities

7. Case Study

Bitcoin as an Example: A Fundamentally Strong Coin That Is Not Dependent on Exchanges

Bitcoin (BTC) is the best example of a cryptocurrency that does not rely on centralized exchanges (CEXs) for its success. Despite not being listed on any exchange during its early years, Bitcoin gained massive adoption due to its decentralized nature, strong security, and widespread community support.

Key Points About Bitcoin's Independence from Exchanges:

1. Early Adoption Without Exchange Listings:

- Bitcoin was first mined in 2009 by Satoshi Nakamoto, and transactions were conducted directly between users (peer-to-peer).
- The first Bitcoin transaction for a real-world product (Laszlo Hanyecz's purchase of two pizzas for 10,000 BTC in 2010) happened without an exchange.

2. Bitcoin's Value Grew Organically:

- BTC's value increased over time due to demand, scarcity (fixed supply of 21 million coins), and real-world use cases.
- Even after major exchange hacks (e.g., Mt. Gox in 2014), Bitcoin's resilience remained strong.

3. Bitcoin Thrives Beyond Centralized Exchanges:

- Bitcoin is widely traded on **decentralized platforms (DEXs)** and **peer-to-peer networks** (e.g., Bisq, HodlHodl).
- Many Bitcoin holders prefer self-custody (using wallets like Ledger, Trezor, and MetaMask) rather than keeping BTC on exchanges.





CoreDAO VIP's Independence from Centralized Exchanges

Similar to Bitcoin, **CoreDAOVIP** has achieved price stability and adoption **without being listed on CEXs**. Instead, it operates through **decentralized liquidity pools** across platforms like IceCreamSwap, ArcherSwap, and ShadowSwap. CoreDAOVIP's Key Strengths Without CEX Listing:

1. **Real-World Use Case in Education:**

- Unlike speculative assets, CoreDAOVIP has a **utility in the education sector**, adding intrinsic value beyond exchange trading.

2. **Decentralized Liquidity Pools Ensure Stability:**

- Being listed across multiple **DEXs** (e.g., IceCreamSwap, ArcherSwap) provides **better liquidity and price stability** compared to many CEX-listed tokens.

3. **Avoids Exchange Manipulation & Security Risks:**

- CEXs often facilitate **robo-trading, wash trading, and pump-and-dump schemes**, which cause price instability.
- Holding assets on DEXs and self-custodial wallets **eliminates the risk of exchange hacks** (e.g., FTX collapse).

8. Conclusion

While CEXs offer advantages such as liquidity, exposure, and regulatory compliance, they are not an absolute necessity for genuine crypto projects. Projects with strong fundamentals, decentralized liquidity, and active community participation can succeed without CEX listings. Given the risks associated with centralized exchanges, including security vulnerabilities and speculative trading, genuine crypto projects may benefit more from decentralized strategies, fostering self-sustaining ecosystems. Bitcoin's success proves that fundamentally strong projects do not need centralized exchanges for survival. Similarly, CoreDAOVIP's price stability and real-world adoption demonstrate that genuine projects can thrive in decentralized ecosystems without relying on CEXs. The future of cryptocurrency lies in decentralization, and while CEXs serve as useful tools for short-term exposure, the long-term success of a project depends on real-world utility, adoption, and decentralized governance rather than a centralized listing.

References

- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System.
- Buterin, V. (2013). Ethereum White Paper: A Next Generation Smart Contract & Decentralized Application Platform.
- Schär, F. (2021). Decentralized Finance: On Blockchain- and Smart Contract-based Financial Systems. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3571335>
- Khan, M. K., & Kiani, M. M. (2021). A Survey of Blockchain Security Issues and Challenges. Journal of Computer Security, 97,





102230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2021.102230> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2021.102230>
- DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2021.102230>
- Kumar, R., & Singh, P. K. (2021). Blockchain forensics: A comprehensive survey. IEEE Access, 9, 23771-23791. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3050994>
- Sweeney, J. K., Kim, T., & Lee, K. (2019). The Anatomy of a Cryptocurrency Ponzi Scheme: A Case Study of BitConnect. Journal of Financial Crime, 26(2), 531-548. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-10-2018-0094>
- Gupta, M., Gupta, D., & Duggal, A. (2023). NFT Culture: A New Era. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 57–62. <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08>
- M. Gupta, “Reviewing the Relationship Between Blockchain and NFT With World Famous NFT Market Places”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.01> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.01>
- R. Gupta, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, “Role of Liquidity Pool in Stabilizing Value of Token”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–17, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.02> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.02>
- M. GUPTA and D. Gupta, “Investigating Role of Blockchain in Making your Greetings Valuable”, URR, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 69–74, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.2023-v10i4-009> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/urr.2023-v10i4-009>
- R. Issalh, A. Gupta, and M. Gupta, “PI NETWORK : A REVOLUTION”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 18–27, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.03> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.03>
- Duggal, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, “SIGNIFICANCE OF NFT AVTAARS IN METAVERSE AND THEIR PROMOTION: CASE STUDY”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–36, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.04> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.04>
- M. Gupta, “Say No to Speculation in Crypto market during NFT trades: Technical and Financial Guidelines”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 37–42, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.05> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.05>
- Singla, M. Singla, and M. Gupta, “Unpacking the Impact of Bitcoin Halving on the Crypto Market: Benefits and Limitations”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 43–50, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.06> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.06>
- Gupta and P. Jain, “EXPECTED IMPACT OF DECENTRALIZATION USING BLOCKCHAIN BASED TECHNOLOGIES”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 51–56, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.07> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.07>





- D. Gupta and S. Gupta, “Exploring world famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 63–71, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09>
- M. Gupta, “Integration of IoT and Blockchain for user Authentication”, SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 72–84, Dec. 2023.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.10> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.10>
- Singla and M. Gupta, “9NFTMANIA: BRIDGING NFT ART AND DIGITAL CURRENCY WITH 9NM TOKENS”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–6, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.01> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.01>
- M. Gupta, “Love in the Blockchain: Unique NFT Gifts for Lovers”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 7–12, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.02> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.02>
- Singla and M. Gupta, “Shaping the Digital Renaissance: The Impact of Glamorous NFT Collections”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 13–17, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.03> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.03>
- Meenu, “EXPOLORING POTENTIAL OF NFT BRAND: COREMAN”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 18–24, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.04> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.04>
- Arun Singla and Mandeep Gupta, “Exploring the potential of Dogecoin promoted by Elon Musk”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 33–40, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.06> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.06>
- Meenu, “UNLOCKING TRON’S POWER: EXPLORING ITS POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 41–47, Feb. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.07> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.07>
- Gupta, D. (2024). Impact of PI Network (PINET) And PINFT Over Premium Domain Parking. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.10> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.10> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.10>
- M. Gupta, “Investigating scope of Premium domains parking in promotion of NFT”, SJMBT, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 59–68, Mar. 2024.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.09> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i1.09>

