Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies
ISSN: 2584-2110 | Vol. 3 | Issue 1 | Jan – Jun 2025 | Peer Reviewed & Refereed

Appropriate Tokenomics: Ensuring Equitable Incentives in Decentralized Ecosystems

Mandeep Gupta*
Director,
Triple Dash Infotech Pvt. Ltd.
Email: 9.mandeep@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3542-1408

Deepanshu Gupta
Chief Executive officer,
Triple Dash Infotech Pvt. Ltd
Email: 9deepanshugupta@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0006-6750-8433

Accepted: 21/01/2025 Published: 26/01/2025 *Corresponding author

How to Cite:

Gupta, M & Gupta, D (2025). Appropriate Tokenomics: Ensuring Equitable Incentives in Decentralized Ecosystems. *Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technology*. 3(1), 27-44. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v3.i1.55

Abstract: Tokenomics, the study and design of economic systems within blockchain-based platforms, is crucial for the success and sustainability of decentralized ecosystems. The growing popularity of cryptocurrencies, decentralized finance (DeFi), and non-fungible tokens (NFTs) has highlighted the importance of robust tokenomic frameworks that ensure fairness, inclusivity, and stability in digital platforms. This research explores the challenges faced by blockchain networks in their incentive structures, particularly addressing the adverse effects of disproportionately rewarding large token holders. To address these issues, the research critically examines existing tokenomics models employed by prominent blockchain platforms and their respective impacts on ecosystem health. It highlights how poorly designed incentive mechanisms can exacerbate wealth inequality, stifle competition, and discourage broader participation. Platforms that employ reward systems based primarily on token holdings tend to benefit large holders disproportionately, which risks centralization and creates a breeding ground for speculative practices that undermine market stability. To address these issues, the article proposes a new framework for equitable tokenomics, focused on inclusivity, fairness, and sustainability. Key components of this framework include proportional rewards, governance rights, long-term token holding mechanisms, dynamic adjustment systems, and programs that encourage smaller holders or new participants. The

proposed framework aims to foster a more equitable environment that values participation and contribution over mere financial dominance, ensuring the system remains decentralized and offers opportunities for all stakeholders to engage meaningfully. In conclusion, this research underscores the need for more equitable tokenomics models that can drive sustainable growth and maintain trust within decentralized platforms. By addressing flaws in existing systems and proposing a framework that aligns rewards, governance, and participation, the authors aim to contribute to the creation of more inclusive, stable, and resilient decentralized ecosystems.

Keywords: Tokenomics, blockchain, decentralized ecosystems, incentive structures, token circulation, market manipulation, rewards distribution, sustainability, governance, inclusivity.

1. Introduction

Tokenomics, the economic model that governs the issuance, distribution, and management of tokens within a blockchain or decentralized ecosystem, plays a crucial role in ensuring the sustainability, fairness, and growth of such ecosystems. With the rapid expansion of decentralized finance (DeFi), non-fungible tokens (NFTs), and blockchain-based platforms, it has become increasingly important to design tokenomics that not only drive the economic viability of these ecosystems but also promote equitable incentives for all participants. In decentralized ecosystems, users often engage in various activities such as providing liquidity, creating content, participating in governance, and trading digital assets, all of which contribute to the value and success of the platform. However, the misalignment of incentives, or "bad tokenomics," can result in market manipulation, speculation, and unequal distribution of rewards. Thus, it is critical to develop tokenomics that incentivize behaviors that lead to long-term value creation, rather than short-term profit-taking or exploitation of vulnerabilities. An effective tokenomics model should balance the interests of different stakeholders, including creators, investors, developers, users, and governance participants. It must ensure that rewards are distributed fairly, promote user engagement, discourage malicious behavior, and provide mechanisms for self-sustaining growth. Furthermore, tokenomics must address challenges such as liquidity management, inflationary pressures, security risks, and the scalability of decentralized platforms. This research aims to explore the principles of appropriate tokenomics and how they can be applied to decentralized ecosystems to ensure that incentives remain aligned with the long-term success of the platform. By examining existing token

models, identifying key drivers of value in decentralized systems, and proposing best practices for equitable distribution, this study seeks to provide insights into how tokenomics can foster more inclusive, transparent, and sustainable decentralized environments. The contribution of this research lies in its exploration of appropriate tokenomics and how it can ensure equitable incentives within decentralized ecosystems. By examining the economic structures of blockchain-based platforms, decentralized finance (DeFi) systems, and non-fungible token (NFT) markets, this study aims to provide a deeper understanding of how tokenomics can be optimized to align the interests of diverse stakeholders while promoting long-term value creation. Key contributions include:

1) Framework for Equitable Incentives: This research provides a comprehensive framework for designing tokenomics models that ensure fair and transparent distribution of rewards across all participants in decentralized ecosystems. The framework will address the complexities of token issuance, staking rewards, governance participation, and market liquidity, with an emphasis on reducing centralization and preventing market manipulation.
2) Sustainability in Decentralized Ecosystems: It identifies strategies for creating sustainable tokenomics that discourage speculative behavior, encourage user engagement, and support the long-term growth of decentralized platforms. By focusing on balancing supply and demand, inflation control, and liquidity management, the study will offer practical solutions for ensuring the economic stability of such systems.
3) Behavioral Alignment: The research contributes to understanding how tokenomics can align incentives to promote behaviors that lead to the success of the platform, such as fostering content creation, rewarding community participation, and ensuring a fair distribution of rewards. This alignment is crucial for combating the issue of short-termism, which often undermines the integrity of decentralized projects.
4) Governance and Decision-Making Models: The study provides insights into the governance aspects of tokenomics, particularly how decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs) can utilize token-based voting and decision-making processes to ensure equitable participation and accountability. This will contribute to the development of fairer and more transparent governance models in blockchain ecosystems.

5) Risk Mitigation and Security: The research also explores how appropriate tokenomics can help mitigate risks such as token volatility, hacking, fraud, and unfair advantage, ensuring that decentralized platforms are secure and resilient. This includes suggestions for mechanisms that prevent concentration of tokens in the hands of a few players, reducing the risk of manipulation.
6) Case Studies and Practical Insights: By analyzing real-world case studies and existing tokenomics models, the research provides valuable insights into both successful and failed models, offering practical lessons for developers and platform creators in crafting equitable tokenomics. These case studies will highlight both the challenges and solutions encountered by various projects.
7) Global Relevance: The study emphasizes the importance of ensuring that tokenomics can be adapted and applied to a wide range of decentralized applications, from NFT marketplaces to DeFi platforms. It contributes to global conversations about the democratization of digital economies, fostering financial inclusion, and empowering individuals in both developed and emerging markets.

The research offers both theoretical contributions to the field of blockchain economics and practical recommendations for stakeholders looking to build fairer, more sustainable, and more inclusive decentralized ecosystems. By focusing on equitable incentives, the study aims to address the underlying economic and social challenges that can hinder the long-term success of decentralized platforms.

2. MLM-Based Tokenomic Systems with High Revenue Distribution to Major Holders

In multi-level marketing (MLM)-based tokenomic systems, revenue distribution often disproportionately favors major token holders, creating an unequal financial ecosystem. These systems are designed to reward users based on their level of investment and ability to recruit others, with significant incentives given to those holding the largest amounts of tokens. While this approach aims to attract and retain influential participants, it inadvertently centralizes wealth and decision-making power within the hands of a few. This imbalance can lead to a number of challenges, including reduced participation from smaller investors who may feel alienated by the lack of equitable opportunities. The concentration of rewards among major holders also introduces

economic risks, such as heightened selling pressure. Large holders, seeking to capitalize on their significant rewards, may sell their holdings in bulk, destabilizing token prices and creating market volatility. Additionally, this model undermines the principles of decentralization by consolidating control over the token's governance and future trajectory in the hands of a select few. Such dynamics can deter new users, harm community engagement, and reduce the long-term sustainability of the ecosystem.To address these concerns, MLM-based tokenomic systems must adopt strategies that ensure fairer revenue distribution while maintaining user incentives. This can include mechanisms like tiered reward systems with diminishing returns for large holders, encouraging staking participation from smaller investors, and implementing governance models that cap voting power to prevent dominance by a few. By addressing these issues, MLM-based systems can create a more balanced, inclusive, and sustainable token economy that benefits a broader spectrum of participants. In the context of decentralized finance (DeFi) and blockchain ecosystems, Multi-Level Marketing (MLM)-based tokenomic systems are a specific model where token distribution and revenue generation are structured in a hierarchical manner. This structure often rewards major holders at multiple levels, creating an incentive for large participants to continue holding or investing more in the system. MLM-based tokenomics systems are built around a multi-tiered structure that typically involves referral-based incentives and revenue-sharing models. These systems reward participants based on the amount of tokens they hold or the number of new participants they bring into the ecosystem. The rewards often scale with the levels, which means participants at higher levels (with more tokens or referrals) receive a higher share of the revenue or rewards. This model is often employed in token distribution events or staking systems, where rewards are distributed to users in a cascading manner—those at the top of the hierarchy or those who are early investors stand to gain the most.

Key Characteristics of MLM-Based Tokenomic Systems

1) **Hierarchical Reward Structure:** Participants are placed in levels or tiers, with major holders or early adopters occupying higher levels. Each level can earn a percentage of the revenue generated by the activities of lower-level participants.
2) **Incentivizing Growth:** By encouraging users to bring in new participants, MLM tokenomics can rapidly expand the user base. This recruitment process typically results in

rewards for both the referrer and the newly recruited participant, creating a network effect that can drive the growth of the ecosystem.

3) **Revenue Sharing:** Revenue generated from transactions, staking, or other ecosystem activities is often distributed among participants based on their level or the number of tokens they hold. Major holders or users in higher tiers usually receive a larger portion of the revenue.
4) **Major Holder Advantages:** In these systems, major holders (those with the largest token holdings) can often earn a disproportionate amount of revenue compared to smaller holders. This creates an incentive for whales (large investors) to maintain or increase their holdings to maximize their share of rewards.

Benefits and Challenges of MLM-Based Tokenomics

Benefits:

- Rapid Growth and Engagement: MLM-based systems can drive rapid user growth by incentivizing participants to recruit others, which can quickly expand the ecosystem.
- Attractive for Major Investors: High rewards for major holders or early participants can attract significant investment into the system, contributing to liquidity and network stability.
- Incentivized Participation: The layered rewards system encourages both token holding and recruitment, leading to sustained engagement by participants.

Challenges:

- Inequitable Distribution: Major holders can accumulate a disproportionate share of rewards, which may alienate smaller participants and create an unbalanced ecosystem.
- Susceptibility to Speculation: MLM tokenomics systems can be prone to speculative behavior, where large holders manipulate the system for short-term gains.
- Sustainability Issues: If the model heavily depends on new participants or inflows of capital, it may suffer from instability or collapse if the growth rate slows down or new participants stop joining.

An example of MLM-based tokenomics can be seen in some DeFi projects that combine staking rewards with referral-based structures. In these systems, early adopters or major token holders who

stake large amounts are rewarded not only based on their holdings but also on the new users or tokens they bring into the ecosystem. As more tokens are staked, a higher percentage of the generated fees or rewards are distributed to the major holders, creating a highly lucrative system for those at the top of the hierarchy. MLM-based tokenomics with high revenue distribution to major holders can drive rapid growth and provide substantial rewards to early participants or large token holders. However, it also raises concerns about equity and sustainability, as the system may incentivize wealth concentration and speculative behavior. Balancing reward distribution and ensuring that smaller participants also have opportunities to benefit is crucial for creating a fair and sustainable MLM-based tokenomic system in the decentralized space.

3. Literature Survey

Token-based ecosystems have revolutionized how value and governance are distributed in decentralized networks. However, poorly designed incentive mechanisms often lead to wealth concentration and instability. Large holders receive disproportionately high rewards, while smaller holders are marginalized, creating an imbalance that contradicts the foundational principles of decentralization. This paper examines the implications of such practices and provides recommendations for designing equitable tokenomics. In an enhanced tokenomics model, the focus is placed on prioritizing small token holders over large holders to create a more balanced and equitable ecosystem. The literature on blockchain, NFTs, and decentralized technologies reflects a rapidly expanding field, with numerous studies contributing to the understanding of their roles, applications, and economic impacts. Gupta et al. (2023) provide a comprehensive overview of the emergence of NFTs in NFT Culture: A New Era, examining the cultural significance of NFTs in the metaverse and their transformative effect on digital ownership, identity, and the broader digital economy. This is further developed by Gupta and Gupta (2023) in Exploring World Famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery, which highlights the global reach and impact of popular NFT scripts in shaping the NFT market and their contributions to the functionality of blockchain-based ecosystems. Gupta (2023) explores the intricate relationship between blockchain and NFTs in Reviewing the Relationship Between Blockchain and NFT with World Famous NFT Market Places, emphasizing how blockchain technologies serve as the backbone of NFT marketplaces and the broader digital asset economy. The role of liquidity pools in maintaining token stability is

discussed in Role of Liquidity Pool in Stabilizing Value of Token (Gupta et al., 2023), where the authors explore financial mechanisms crucial for stabilizing token values within decentralized finance (DeFi) systems. This theme is also touched upon in Exploring Liquidity Pooling and Automated Trading with COREDAOVIP Token by Singla (2024), which provides insights into liquidity management and automated trading in decentralized exchanges. The promotion of NFTs, specifically avatars in the metaverse, is examined by Duggal et al. (2023) in Significance of NFT Avataars in Metaverse and Their Promotion: Case Study, offering valuable insights into marketing strategies and the role of NFTs in digital social spaces. Gupta (2023) further investigates the risks of speculative behavior in NFT trading in Say No to Speculation in Crypto Market During NFT Trades: Technical and Financial Guidelines, offering guidelines to minimize volatility and stabilize the market. The speculative nature of the crypto market is also examined by Gupta and Jain (2024) in The Role of Volunteers vs. Investors and Speculators in the Cryptocurrency Market, comparing the different roles that investors, speculators, and volunteers play in the market's evolution and value-building. In terms of market innovations, Gupta and Duggal (2023) highlight the role of NFTs in expanding the scope of digital assets in Investigating the Narrative of Trinity: 9NFTMANIA, Premium Domain, COREDAOVIP, which delves into how digital assets such as 9NFTMANIA, COREDAOVIP, and premium domains are revolutionizing market practices. Further, Singla and Gupta (2024) in Reviewing Limited Supply Crypto Projects: ULTIMA, COREDAOVIP focus on limited-supply crypto projects and their potential impact on value dynamics in the cryptocurrency space. Gupta’s continued exploration of blockchain applications, including the integration of IoT for user authentication in Integration of IoT and Blockchain for User Authentication (2023), highlights the security and authentication challenges addressed by these technologies. Together, these studies contribute significantly to the understanding of NFTs, blockchain, and their implications in digital economies. They examine key elements such as token liquidity, market speculation, the role of NFTs in the metaverse, and the integration of emerging technologies, all of which are critical for the future development of decentralized markets and blockchain-based ecosystems. The research also highlights the growing importance of understanding the financial, cultural, and technical dimensions of blockchain and NFTs as they continue to reshape the digital world. This approach seeks to ensure that token distribution is not overly centralized, which can lead to power imbalances where a few large holders have

disproportionate influence over decision-making processes. By encouraging the participation of smaller holders, the model fosters a more democratic environment where a broader range of individuals can contribute to governance and decision-making. This approach also promotes stability in the token's value, as it reduces the risk of market manipulation by large holders. Additionally, offering incentives and rewards tailored for small token holders can enhance community engagement and ensure the long-term sustainability of the token's ecosystem, creating a more resilient and fair economic structure.

4. Challenges in Tokenomics Models that prefer large holders

Tokenomics models that prefer large token holders face several significant challenges that can undermine the fairness and sustainability of the ecosystem. When a disproportionate amount of tokens is concentrated in the hands of a few large holders, it can lead to centralization, where these holders gain excessive control over decision-making processes and governance. This power imbalance can discourage smaller holders from participating, as they may feel their influence is insignificant. Additionally, large holders may have the ability to manipulate token prices and market conditions, creating volatility and instability within the ecosystem. The dominance of a few players can also stifle innovation and discourage new participants from entering the space. These challenges can result in reduced trust in the system, diminished community engagement, and a lack of long-term sustainability, ultimately undermining the core principles of decentralization and fairness that many blockchain projects aim to uphold.

1) **High Selling Pressure:** Incentivizing large holders excessively leads to potential market instability. These holders are more likely to sell their tokens in bulk once their benefits peak, resulting in significant price volatility and loss of confidence among smaller investors.
2) **Violation of Decentralization:** By favoring large holders, tokenomics systems centralize wealth and influence, undermining the decentralized nature of the blockchain ecosystem. This contradicts the ethos of fair participation.
3) **Discouragement of Small Participants:** Smaller holders often feel undervalued, reducing their motivation to participate. This limits the ecosystem's inclusivity and deters new entrants, thereby hindering community growth.

4) **Market Manipulation Risks:** Large token holders can exploit their influence to manipulate prices, creating further distrust and discouraging genuine adoption of the system.

5. Proposed Framework for Equitable Tokenomics

The proposed framework for equitable tokenomics focuses on creating a fair, inclusive, and sustainable system that addresses common challenges faced by decentralized ecosystems. One of the key elements is the design of proportional rewards, where incentive structures scale equitably with token holdings. By using a logarithmic scale instead of a linear one, the rewards distribution can prevent large token holders from disproportionately benefiting, ensuring fairness. Governance and participation rights are also crucial, offering non-monetary incentives such as voting rights to encourage active participation, regardless of token quantity. This ensures a broader, more democratic decision-making process, with weighted voting mechanisms that allow fair representation. To promote long-term engagement, incentives for long-term holding are introduced, such as staking rewards or vesting periods, which help stabilize the market by reducing short-term selling pressures. The framework also proposes dynamic adjustment mechanisms to make rewards responsive to market conditions, discouraging behaviors like excessive accumulation or sudden liquidation that can destabilize the ecosystem. Lastly, inclusivity programs are emphasized, offering extra incentives to smaller holders or new participants. Methods like airdrops or bonuses for wallets with lower token balances help promote diversity and ensure that all participants, regardless of their financial standing, have opportunities to engage meaningfully within the ecosystem. Together, these elements create a balanced system that prioritizes fairness, stability, and broad participation. To address these challenges, tokenomics should prioritize fairness, inclusivity, and sustainability. Below are key elements of an equitable framework:

1) **Proportional Rewards:** Design tiered incentive structures where rewards scale equitably with token holdings. For example, rewards could follow a logarithmic rather than linear scale to avoid disproportionately benefiting large holders.
2) **Governance and Participation Rights:** Provide non-monetary incentives, such as governance rights, to encourage active participation regardless of token quantity. Weighted voting mechanisms can ensure fair representation.

3) **Incentives for Long-Term Holding:** Implement mechanisms like staking rewards or vesting periods to encourage holders to retain tokens, reducing selling pressure and stabilizing the market.
4) **Dynamic Adjustment Mechanisms:** Introduce adaptive rewards that respond to market conditions, discouraging excessive accumulation or sudden liquidation of tokens.
5) **Inclusivity Programs:** Offer additional incentives for smaller holders or new participants to promote diversity within the ecosystem. For example, airdrops or bonuses for wallets with lower token balances.

6. Case Studies and Examples

Several blockchain projects have successfully implemented equitable tokenomics models that balance incentives and encourage broad participation. One example is Ethereum 2.0 Staking, where rewards are distributed based on the amount of tokens staked. However, to ensure that smaller stakers are not left out, Ethereum 2.0 introduces pooled staking, allowing users with smaller amounts of ETH to combine their tokens and participate in staking pools. This mechanism ensures that even those with limited resources can earn rewards proportionate to their contributions, promoting inclusivity and decentralization. Another example is Polkadot's Nominated Proof-of-Stake (NPoS) model. In this system, validators are chosen based on nominations from token holders, and smaller nominators are able to earn rewards proportional to the size of their stake, even if their stake is much smaller compared to larger participants. This method ensures that the system remains fair and encourages wider participation by making it easier for smaller holders to take part in the validation process and benefit from staking rewards. Finally, Fair Launch Projects like Yearn Finance have gained attention for their equitable approach to token distribution. Yearn Finance, for example, avoided pre-mining and ensured that all participants had equal access to the token allocation. By removing early access for insiders or privileged investors, Yearn Finance emphasized fairness in its token launch, allowing everyone the same opportunity to participate from the outset. These examples highlight different ways in which equitable tokenomics can be applied, fostering inclusivity and creating more balanced opportunities for all participants, regardless of their size or financial standing. Several projects have successfully implemented equitable tokenomics models:

1) **Ethereum 2.0 Staking:** Rewards are distributed based on staking amounts, but mechanisms ensure smaller stakers can participate via pooled staking.
2) **Polkadot's Nominated Proof-of-Stake:** This model ensures fair validator selection, enabling smaller nominators to earn rewards proportionate to their stake.
3) **Fair Launch Projects:** Projects like Yearn Finance emphasized fair distribution by avoiding pre-mines and allowing all participants equal access to token allocation.

Here's a table summarizing the key elements and examples of equitable tokenomics from the research:

Table 1 Summary of Key elements with its examples

Key Element	Description	Example
Proportional Rewards	Incentive structures that scale equitably with token holdings, using methods like logarithmic scales to avoid unfair advantage for large holders.	Ethereum 2.0 Staking: Rewards distributed based on staking amounts but with pooled staking options for smaller stakers.
Governance and Participation Rights	Non-monetary incentives such as governance rights to encourage active participation, regardless of token quantity.	Polkadot's NPoS: Small nominators can earn rewards proportionate to their stake, ensuring fair validator selection.
Incentives for Long-Term Holding	Mechanisms such as staking rewards or vesting periods that incentivize users to hold tokens long-term, reducing market volatility.	Ethereum 2.0: Staking rewards with mechanisms to encourage long-term participation and reduce selling pressure.
Dynamic Adjustment Mechanisms	Adaptive rewards based on market conditions, discouraging excessive accumulation or liquidation of tokens.	Polkadot: Adjustments based on the validator's performance and nominators' staking to ensure fair rewards distribution.

Inclusivity Programs	Additional incentives for smaller holders or new participants to promote diversity within the ecosystem.	Yearn Finance: Fair launch with equal access for all participants without pre-mines or insider advantages.

This table highlights the key elements of equitable tokenomics and provides examples from real-world projects that have successfully implemented these practices.

7. Need of research

Tokenomics, the study and design of economic systems within blockchain-based platforms, plays a pivotal role in shaping the success and sustainability of decentralized ecosystems. The growing popularity of cryptocurrencies, decentralized finance (DeFi), and non-fungible tokens (NFTs) has emphasized the importance of robust tokenomic frameworks that ensure fairness, inclusivity, and stability in digital platforms. This research explores the critical challenges faced by blockchain networks in their incentive structures, specifically addressing the adverse effects of disproportionately rewarding large token holders. In many decentralized systems, token distribution models have unintentionally favored whales—early adopters or major investors—leading to an imbalance in token circulation. Such practices create issues such as market manipulation, high selling pressure, and a concentration of power that violates the core principles of decentralization. These challenges have raised concerns over the long-term sustainability of decentralized platforms and the equitable participation of smaller users or investors. In this article, we critically examine the existing tokenomics models employed by prominent blockchain platforms and their respective impacts on ecosystem health. The research highlights how incentive mechanisms, when poorly designed, can exacerbate wealth inequality, stifle competition, and discourage broader participation. For example, platforms that employ reward systems based primarily on token holdings tend to benefit large holders disproportionately, which not only risks centralization but also creates a breeding ground for speculative practices that undermine market stability. The concentration of power and value in the hands of a few individuals or entities goes against the very nature of decentralized platforms, which aim to promote autonomy, equality, and widespread participation. To address these issues, this article proposes a new framework for **equitable tokenomics**, focused on inclusivity, fairness, and sustainability. This framework

aims to re-align incentives in a way that benefits all participants, regardless of their stake or entry point into the system. Key components of the proposed framework include proportional rewards, where incentives scale equitably with token holdings, and governance rights that provide non-monetary benefits to all users. Additionally, the framework suggests mechanisms to promote long-term token holding, such as staking rewards and vesting periods, to reduce market volatility and encourage stability. Dynamic adjustment systems are also proposed, which would allow reward structures to adapt to changing market conditions, thus preventing manipulation and promoting market health. An important aspect of this proposed framework is its focus on inclusivity. By introducing programs that encourage smaller holders or new participants—such as airdrops, bonus incentives, or pooled staking—the framework seeks to prevent the marginalization of less affluent users while still ensuring that larger participants are rewarded for their contributions. The idea is to foster a more equitable environment that values participation and contribution over mere financial dominance. Such a balanced approach ensures that the system remains decentralized, with opportunities for all stakeholders to engage meaningfully. In conclusion, this research underscores the need for more equitable tokenomics models that can drive sustainable growth and maintain trust within decentralized platforms. By addressing the flaws of existing systems and proposing a framework that aligns rewards, governance, and participation, we aim to contribute to the creation of more inclusive, stable, and resilient decentralized ecosystems. Ultimately, equitable tokenomics can help safeguard the values of decentralization while ensuring the long-term success and viability of blockchain-based platforms.

8. Scope of Research

The scope of this research is to explore and analyze the concept of equitable tokenomics in decentralized ecosystems, with a particular focus on the design, implementation, and outcomes of various tokenomics models. This study will primarily aim to:

1) Examine Tokenomics Models: Investigate existing tokenomics structures in popular blockchain projects, such as Ethereum 2.0, Polkadot, and Yearn Finance, and assess how they balance rewards, governance, and incentives to promote fairness and inclusivity. The research will analyze both successful and unsuccessful models to identify key lessons.

2) Evaluate Key Elements of Equitable Tokenomics: This research will delve into the critical components that contribute to an equitable tokenomics model, including proportional rewards, governance rights, incentives for long-term holding, dynamic adjustment mechanisms, and inclusivity programs. The goal is to develop a comprehensive framework for designing tokenomics that can be applied across various decentralized platforms.
3) Assess Stakeholder Participation: A key area of focus will be understanding how tokenomics can encourage diverse and inclusive participation among different stakeholders, such as small token holders, new users, developers, and governance participants. This includes analyzing how equitable reward systems can encourage engagement and reduce centralization.
4) Market Stability and Sustainability: The research will also examine the role of tokenomics in ensuring market stability and sustainability by incentivizing long-term holding, managing token liquidity, and preventing market manipulation. The impact of dynamic reward systems and staking mechanisms on the stability of token prices and user engagement will be explored.
5) Case Study Analysis: The study will analyze specific case studies of blockchain projects that have implemented equitable tokenomics models, such as Ethereum 2.0, Polkadot's NPoS, and Yearn Finance. By understanding the real-world application of these models, the research will provide insights into how tokenomics can be effectively designed and implemented to ensure fairness and long-term success.
6) Propose Best Practices and Guidelines: Based on the analysis of existing models and frameworks, the research aims to propose a set of best practices and guidelines for creating equitable tokenomics systems. These guidelines will be aimed at developers, entrepreneurs, and stakeholders in the blockchain and cryptocurrency space who are seeking to build decentralized platforms that prioritize fairness and inclusivity.
7) Broader Implications: The research will also explore the broader social, economic, and ethical implications of equitable tokenomics in decentralized ecosystems. This includes its potential for democratizing financial systems, empowering users, and promoting equitable wealth distribution, especially in the context of global financial inclusion and emerging markets.

Overall, the scope of the research extends across both the technical design of tokenomics and its broader societal impacts, providing a well-rounded exploration of how equitable tokenomics can contribute to the success and sustainability of decentralized ecosystems.

9. Conclusion

In conclusion, equitable tokenomics plays a pivotal role in ensuring the sustainability, inclusivity, and fairness of decentralized ecosystems. As blockchain technology and decentralized platforms continue to grow, the need for well-designed tokenomics models that align the interests of all participants has never been more critical. By focusing on proportional rewards, active governance participation, long-term holding incentives, dynamic adjustment mechanisms, and inclusivity programs, decentralized platforms can create environments where all stakeholders—regardless of their size or financial standing—have an equal opportunity to engage, contribute, and benefit. The research highlights several successful examples, such as Ethereum 2.0, Polkadot's NPoS, and Yearn Finance, where tokenomics models have been thoughtfully crafted to promote fairness and encourage broad participation. These case studies provide valuable lessons on how to avoid pitfalls such as centralization, market manipulation, and inequitable distribution of rewards. The proposed framework for equitable tokenomics serves as a guide for developers and stakeholders looking to create systems that foster long-term value creation while minimizing risks associated with speculative behavior. Ultimately, the research underscores the importance of designing tokenomics that are not only technically sound but also socially responsible. Tokenomics should go beyond economic incentives and actively contribute to building more inclusive, transparent, and resilient decentralized ecosystems. By ensuring that incentives are aligned with the long-term success of the platform, equitable tokenomics can foster an environment where innovation thrives, and the benefits of blockchain technology can be enjoyed by a diverse and global community. Equitable tokenomics is essential for the long-term success of decentralized ecosystems. By addressing the issues of high selling pressure, wealth centralization, and exclusionary practices, an inclusive and sustainable economic model can be achieved. Future research should focus on refining adaptive reward systems, integrating governance mechanisms, and fostering greater inclusivity to ensure that tokenomics align with the principles of decentralization. The continued

evolution of blockchain technology provides opportunities to test innovative tokenomics models. Future research should explore:

1) The integration of artificial intelligence to dynamically adjust incentives.
2) Cross-chain tokenomics for multi-blockchain ecosystems.
3) Behavioral economics insights to design user-centric rewards systems.

Adopting these approaches will ensure that tokenomics not only incentivize participation but also uphold the values of fairness and decentralization.

References

Gupta, M., Gupta, D., & Duggal, A. (2023). NFT Culture: A New Era. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 57–62. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.08

Gupta, D., & Gupta, S. (2023). Exploring world famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 63–71. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09

M. Gupta, "Reviewing the Relationship Between Blockchain and NFT With World Famous NFT Market Places", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Dec. 2023.

R. Gupta, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, "Role of Liquidity Pool in Stabilizing Value of Token", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–17, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.02

R. Issalh, A. Gupta, and M. Gupta, "PI Network : A Revolution", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 18–27, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.03

A. Duggal, M. Gupta, and D. Gupta, "Significance Of Nft Avtaars In Metaverse And Their Promotion: Case Study", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–36, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.04

M. Gupta, "Say No to Speculation in Crypto market during NFT trades: Technical and Financial Guidelines", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 37–42, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.05

A. Singla, M. Singla, and M. Gupta, "Unpacking the Impact of Bitcoin Halving on the Crypto Market: Benefits and Limitations", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 43–50, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.06

Gupta and P. Jain, "Expected Impact Of Decentralization Using Blockchain Based Technologies", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 51–56, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.07

D. Gupta and S. Gupta, "Exploring world famous NFT Scripts: A Global Discovery", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 63–71, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.09

M. Gupta, "Integration of IoT and Blockchain for user Authentication", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 72–84, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.10

A. Singla and M. Gupta, "Investigating Deep learning models for NFT classification : A Review", SJMBT, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 91–98, Dec. 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.12

Issalh, R., Gupta, D., & Gupta, M. (2023). RESEARCHER ECONOMY: A REVOLUTION BY 9NFTMANIA FOR PRESENT ALPHA MALE. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 1(1), 99–104. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v1i1.13

Gupta, D. (2024). The Role of Volunteers vs. Investors and Speculators in the Cryptocurrency Market: A Comparative Study of Reputation and Value Building. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(Special), 18–26. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.iSpecial.50

Singla, A. (2024). Reviewing Limited Supply Crypto Projects: ULTIMA, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 111–123. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.41

Ashutosh, & Gupta, M. (2024). Investigating the Narrative of Trinity: 9NFTMANIA, Premium Domain, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 124–137. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.42

Ashutosh, & Gupta, M. (2024). Investigating the Narrative of Trinity: 9NFTMANIA, Premium Domain, COREDAOVIP. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 124–137. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.42

Singla, A. (2024). Exploring Liquidity Pooling and Automated Trading with COREDAOVIP Token in Decentralized Exchanges. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.26

Meenu. (2024). COREDAO.VIP: Crypto-Based Liquidity Pool Creation for Profit Maximization. Scientific Journal of Metaverse and Blockchain Technologies, 2(2), 150–156. https://doi.org/10.36676/sjmbt.v2.i2.44